Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/678,715	KIMBRELL, WILLIAM C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Preeti Kumar	1751	

All Participants:

(1) *Preeti Kumar*.

(2) *Brenda Wentz*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *3 August 2006*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
103(a)

Claims discussed:
44-54, 60-70 and 76-86

Prior art documents discussed:
Farmer and Krogh et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner proposed amendments to the claims to overcome the rejection, which amendments were acceptible to the attorney of record and are described in detail in the Examiner's amendment attached to the Notice of Allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Preeti Kumar
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)